

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,393		12/16/2003	Kenichi Morimoto	A-468	5877
802	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
DELLETT		LTERS	OLSEN, A	OLSEN, ALLAN W	
P. O. BOX 82788 PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORTEM		<i>71202-0700</i>		1763	
				DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/737,393	MORIMOTO, KENICHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Allan Olsen	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 December 2003.						
·	his action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-9 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of	s/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square othe drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	elication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	omary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Practices Cited (P10-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/737,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-4, drawn to a mask blank, classified in class 428, subclass 542.8.

II. Claims 5-9, drawn to a method of making a mask blank, classified in class216, subclass 012.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the pattern having an "opening to be an exposed area" could be created by an additive process rather than a subtractive process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with James Walters on about November 20, 2005 a provisional election was made, presumably made with traverse, to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/737,393

Art Unit: 1763

in replying to this Office action. Claims 5-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "an etching stop layer having lower stress". Lower than what?

The term "lower" requires a reference point against which the comparison is to be made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication 2003/0071283 of Heschel.

Heschel teaches a structure comparable to the claimed mask. See figure 1k.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,393

7001110111011110011 107101 300

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication 2004/0087065 of Udrea et al.

Udrea et al. teach a structure comparable to the claimed mask. See figure 36c.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/737,393

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Allan Olsen Primary Exam Art Unit 1763 **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1763